

“THE DEATH PENALTY: IS IT A VIOLATION OF HUMAN RIGHTS?”

Hafiz Muhammad Adil

Mphil Islamic studies

Minhaj university Lahore

Abstract

The death penalty has been a contentious issue for many years, with proponents and opponents offering divergent views. One of the central concerns in this debate is whether the use of the death penalty is consistent with human rights. This paper investigates the relationship between the death penalty and human rights. The analysis explores the moral, legal, and practical arguments for and against the death penalty. Based on the examination, the paper argues that the death penalty constitutes a violation of human rights and should be abolished. The study also considers alternative forms of punishment that are compatible with human rights.

Keywords: Death Penalty, Human Rights, Capital Punishment, Morality, Law, Practicality, Abolition, Alternative Punishments.

Introduction

The death penalty, also known as capital punishment, is a legal sanction that authorizes the execution of individuals convicted of certain crimes. The use of the death penalty has been a contentious issue for many years, with arguments for and against its use. One of the main concerns raised by opponents of the death penalty is whether it constitutes a violation of human rights. This paper aims to examine the relationship between the death penalty and human rights, with a view to determining whether the use of the death penalty is compatible with human rights. (Parkerson Jr, J. E., & Stoehr, C. S. 1990). The concept of human rights is based on the principle that every individual is entitled to certain rights and freedoms simply by virtue of being human. These rights are inherent and inalienable and are protected by law. Human rights are essential for the preservation of human dignity and the maintenance of a just and equitable society. The death penalty, on the other hand, involves the deliberate taking of human life by the state. This raises the question of whether the use of the death penalty is consistent with human rights. (Hudson, P. 2000).

One of the main arguments put forward by opponents of the death penalty is that it violates the right to life. The right to life is a fundamental human right that is enshrined in many international human rights instruments, including the Universal Declaration of Human Rights. The death penalty is seen as a direct violation of this right, as it involves the deliberate taking of human life by the state. Furthermore, the use of the death penalty is irreversible, and there is always a risk of executing innocent people. This means that the use of the death penalty is not only a violation of the right to life but also a denial of justice. (Mathias, M. D. 2013). Another argument against the death penalty is that it violates the prohibition of torture and cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment. This is because the death penalty involves the use of extreme physical and psychological pain and suffering, both for the person being executed and their loved ones. The use of the death penalty is also often accompanied by long periods of uncertainty and fear for the person awaiting execution, which can amount to psychological torture. Additionally, the use of the death penalty has been shown to have a disproportionately negative impact on marginalized and vulnerable communities, such as those living in poverty or belonging to minority groups. This means

that the use of the death penalty is not only a violation of the right to life but also a form of torture and cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment. (Lines, R. 2007).

Furthermore, the use of the death penalty has been shown to be discriminatory in practice. Studies have consistently shown that the use of the death penalty is more likely to be imposed on individuals who are poor, belong to ethnic or racial minorities, or have limited access to legal representation. This means that the use of the death penalty is not only a violation of the right to life and a form of torture and cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment, but also a form of discrimination. (Bishop, A. N. 2001). Proponents of the death penalty argue that it serves as a deterrent to crime and is an appropriate punishment for the most serious crimes, such as murder. However, there is little evidence to support these claims. Studies have shown that the death penalty is not an effective deterrent to crime and that there are other, less severe, punishments that can be used to punish serious crimes. Moreover, the use of the death penalty is irreversible and there is always a risk of executing innocent people. This means that the use of the death penalty is not only ineffective but also unjust. (Hood, R., & Hoyle, C. 2009).

In light of these findings, this paper argues that the death penalty should be abolished. There are alternative forms of punishment that are consistent with human rights and that can be used to punish serious crimes. These include life imprisonment, community service, and restorative justice programs. These alternatives are less severe than the death penalty, but they are still effective in deterring crime and ensuring that justice is served. (Carozza, P. G. 2002). In conclusion, the use of the death penalty is a contentious issue that raises questions about human rights. This paper has examined the relationship between the death penalty and human rights, and has argued that the use of the death penalty constitutes a violation of human rights. The death penalty violates the right to life, the prohibition of torture and cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment, and the prohibition of discrimination. Furthermore, there is little evidence to support the claim that the death penalty serves as a deterrent to crime or that it is an appropriate punishment for the most serious crimes. (Neumayer, E. 2008).

Review of the Literature

The death penalty is a highly controversial issue, with arguments for and against its use as a violation of human rights. This literature review examines the various arguments for and against the death penalty as a violation of human rights. Opponents of the death penalty argue that it violates the right to life. They assert that every human being has the right to life and that the state, by executing individuals, is violating this fundamental human right. (Eklund, A. K. 2004). The United Nations General Assembly, in several resolutions, has called for a global moratorium on the use of the death penalty, citing the right to life as a fundamental human right. According to Amnesty International (2021), the death penalty is the ultimate denial of human rights and a violation of the right to life. In addition to the right to life, opponents of the death penalty argue that it is often applied unfairly. Studies have shown that race, socioeconomic status, and geography all play a role in determining who receives the death penalty. (Kallins, L. B. 1993).

This raises concerns about the fairness and impartiality of the justice system, and whether the death penalty is being applied in a manner that is consistent with the principles of justice and human rights. Furthermore, opponents of the death penalty argue that it is an ineffective deterrent against crime. Many studies have shown that the death penalty does not deter crime any more effectively than other forms of punishment. This raises questions about the

justification for using the death penalty as a means of punishment. (Santoso, M. I. 2016). On the other hand, supporters of the death penalty argue that it is necessary for punishing the most heinous crimes, and that it serves as a deterrent to others who may be considering committing similar crimes. They also argue that the death penalty is a fair and just punishment for those who have committed these crimes and that it is a matter of justice for the victims and their families. However, even supporters of the death penalty acknowledge that there are concerns about the fairness and impartiality of its application. They argue that efforts should be made to ensure that the death penalty is applied in a fair and impartial manner, and that reforms should be made to address any concerns about its application. (Lillich, R. B. 1995).

Another concern is the potential for wrongful convictions and the execution of innocent individuals. While the justice system is not infallible, the finality of the death penalty means that any mistakes made cannot be corrected. This is particularly concerning given the disproportionate impact of the death penalty on marginalized communities, who are more likely to be wrongfully convicted. Additionally, the use of the death penalty has been shown to have negative psychological effects on those sentenced to death, their families, and communities. This includes the trauma of waiting on death row and the stress of the appeals process. (Gallahue, P., & Lines, R. 2010). The psychological toll of the death penalty has been likened to torture, which is also considered a violation of human rights. Overall, the evidence from the literature suggests that the death penalty is indeed a violation of human rights. The disproportionate impact on marginalized communities, the potential for wrongful convictions, and the psychological toll of the death penalty all point to the need for abolition or reform of this practice. It is important to continue analyzing data on the use of the death penalty within a human rights framework in order to inform policymaking and advocacy efforts towards its abolition. (Koh, H. H. 2001).

In conclusion, the death penalty remains a controversial issue, with arguments for and against its use as a violation of human rights. While opponents of the death penalty argue that it violates the right to life, is often applied unfairly, and is an ineffective deterrent against crime, supporters of the death penalty argue that it is necessary for punishing the most heinous crimes, is a fair and just punishment for those who have committed these crimes, and is a matter of justice for the victims and their families. (Schabas, W. 2002). Ultimately, whether or not to use the death penalty is a complex ethical and moral decision that must take into account numerous factors, including the severity of the crime, the potential for error in the legal system, and the impact of the punishment on society as a whole. As society continues to grapple with this issue, it is important to engage in thoughtful and respectful dialogue to ensure that the justice system upholds the values of fairness, justice, and human rights. (Kabir, A., & Nazareth, I. 2022).

Methodology

To determine if the death penalty is a violation of human rights, various research methods and sources can be used. One approach is to conduct a systematic review of the literature, which involves searching academic databases and human rights reports to analyze studies that have explored the relationship between the death penalty and human rights. Quantitative research methods can be used to analyze data on the use of the death penalty, including statistical analyses of trends in its use and identifying patterns of discrimination. Qualitative

research methods can also be used to understand the experiences of those impacted by the death penalty. A human rights framework should guide the methodology used in this research to identify violations and provide recommendations for reform. A combination of quantitative and qualitative research methods, guided by a human rights framework, can provide a comprehensive understanding of whether the death penalty is a violation of human rights.

Research Question

1. What are the international human rights standards and guidelines related to the use of the death penalty?
2. What is the current status of the use of the death penalty around the world?
3. What are the arguments for and against the use of the death penalty from a human rights perspective?
4. How is the death penalty applied in different legal systems, and what are the potential human rights violations associated with its use?
5. What is the impact of the death penalty on individuals, families, and communities, and what are the potential human rights violations associated with this impact?

Data Analysis

Data analysis is a critical component of any research project, and in the case of examining whether the death penalty is a violation of human rights, it involves the interpretation of data collected from various sources. One approach to analyzing data on the death penalty and human rights violations is to use a human rights framework. This framework recognizes that the right to life is a fundamental human right and that the use of the death penalty may constitute a violation of this right. (Futamura, M. 2013). It also considers other human rights, such as the right to a fair trial, the prohibition of torture and other cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment, and the prohibition of discrimination. Using this framework, data on the use of the death penalty can be analyzed to determine whether there is a pattern of human rights abuses associated with its use. For example, research has shown that the death penalty is often applied in a discriminatory manner, with marginalized groups being disproportionately represented among those sentenced to death. (Berenger, C. 2010). This suggests a violation of the right to equality and non-discrimination. Another aspect of data analysis is the examination of the effectiveness of the death penalty in achieving its intended goals. Proponents of the death penalty argue that it serves as a deterrent to crime, but research has shown that there is no reliable evidence to support this claim. Additionally, the risk of executing innocent people highlights flaws in the justice system, which could lead to violations of the right to a fair trial. Furthermore, data on the use of the death penalty can be analyzed to determine whether its application conforms to international human rights standards. For example, the use of the death penalty for crimes other than intentional killing is prohibited by international human rights law. If data shows that the death penalty is being applied for non-lethal offenses, this would suggest a violation of human rights standards. Overall, data analysis is critical in determining whether the death penalty is a violation of human rights. (Stokes, R. 2016).

By using a human rights framework and analyzing data on the use of the death penalty, researchers can draw evidence-based conclusions on whether or not the death penalty is a violation of human rights. Here are a few key areas of analysis: Disproportionate use of the death penalty: One important factor to consider is whether the use of the death penalty is proportionate to the crimes committed. If certain groups of people, such as minorities or people from marginalized communities, are more likely to receive the death penalty, this could indicate a violation of their human rights to equal protection under the law. Fair trial and due process: Another crucial aspect to analyze is whether defendants are receiving fair trials and due process. (Grayer, L. A. 1994). This includes factors such as access to legal representation, the quality of evidence presented, and the impartiality of judges and juries. If defendants are not receiving a fair trial, this could be seen as a violation of their human rights. Alternatives to the death penalty: It is also important to consider whether alternatives to the death penalty are being used effectively. For example, if a country has a high rate of recidivism, it may be more effective to focus on rehabilitation and restorative justice rather than simply imposing the death penalty. Failure to explore and use alternative measures could be seen as a violation of the human rights of both the defendant and society as a whole. By analyzing these and other factors using a human rights framework, researchers can draw evidence-based conclusions on whether the use of the death penalty is consistent with international human rights norms. This can help inform policy decisions and promote the protection of human rights around the world. (Babcock, S. 2002).

Aim of the Study

The aim of the study on whether the death penalty constitutes a violation of human rights is to provide evidence-based conclusions on the topic. By using a human rights framework and analyzing data from various sources, the study seeks to identify potential human rights violations related to the use of the death penalty and provide guidance on how to address these violations. Ultimately, the study aims to contribute to the ongoing global debate on the use of the death penalty and inform policies and practices related to capital punishment.

Significance of the Study

The study on the death penalty's violation of human rights is significant for several reasons. It addresses a contentious global issue, providing evidence-based conclusions that can inform ongoing debates. The study has important implications for human rights advocacy, providing a powerful argument for its abolition or reform. The study can contribute to the development of international human rights standards and guidelines by identifying potential violations and offering recommendations for addressing them. Overall, the study can inform global debates, support human rights advocacy, and contribute to the development of international human rights standards.

Statement of the Problem

The problem statement for the study on the death penalty's violation of human rights is whether the use of the death penalty constitutes a violation of internationally recognized human rights standards. While some argue that the death penalty is a just and necessary

punishment for the most heinous crimes, others argue that it is a violation of the right to life, the prohibition against torture, and the right to a fair trial. There is a lack of consensus on the issue, and countries around the world have different policies and practices regarding the use of the death penalty. The problem statement seeks to address this issue and provide evidence-based conclusions on whether the use of the death penalty is a violation of human rights.

Finding

Based on the analysis of the data and the discussion of the issue through a human rights framework, the death penalty can be considered a violation of human rights in several respects. First, the use of the death penalty raises concerns about discrimination and bias in the legal system. Studies have shown that certain groups, such as racial or ethnic minorities, individuals with mental or intellectual disabilities, and those from socio-economically disadvantaged backgrounds, are more likely to be sentenced to death. This suggests that the application of the death penalty may not be based solely on the severity of the crime committed, but may also be influenced by factors such as race, class, and disability status. This raises concerns about the violation of the principle of equality before the law, which is a fundamental human right. Second, the use of the death penalty raises concerns about the potential for wrongful convictions and executions. While legal systems aim to ensure that only the guilty are punished, there have been numerous cases where individuals have been wrongly convicted and sentenced to death. The irreversible nature of the death penalty means that any mistakes in the legal process can have devastating consequences, and can result in the violation of the right to life and the prohibition against torture and cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment. Third, the use of the death penalty can have significant psychological and social impacts on those sentenced to death, their families, and the broader community. The fear of death, the lengthy appeals process, and the conditions of death row can lead to significant mental health issues, including depression, anxiety, and post-traumatic stress disorder. The use of the death penalty can also perpetuate a culture of violence, and may not be an effective deterrent to crime. Overall, the data and analysis suggest that the death penalty can be considered a violation of human rights. While some argue that it is necessary as a form of punishment for the most heinous crimes, the potential for discrimination, wrongful convictions, and psychological harm suggest that it is not a just or effective form of justice. Human rights advocates and organizations may use these findings to support their efforts to abolish or reform the use of the death penalty and promote alternative forms of justice that uphold human rights principles.

Futuristic Work

The discussion on whether the death penalty constitutes a violation of human rights is multifaceted and raises concerns about discrimination, potential for wrongful convictions and executions, psychological and social impacts, and effectiveness as a deterrent to crime. The use of a human rights framework and data analysis can inform evidence-based conclusions on the potential human rights violations related to the use of the death penalty. The findings of such studies can inform ongoing debates on the topic, support human rights advocacy, and contribute to the development of international human rights standards.

Reference

- Hudson, P. (2000). Does the death row phenomenon violate a prisoner's human rights under international law? *European Journal of International Law*, 11(4), 833-856.
- Mathias, M. D. (2013). The sacralization of the individual: Human rights and the abolition of the death penalty. *American Journal of Sociology*, 118(5), 1246-1283.
- Parkerson Jr, J. E., & Stoehr, C. S. (1990). The US military death penalty in Europe: Threats from recent European human rights developments. *Mil. L. Rev.*, 129, 41.
- Lines, R. (2007). *The Death Penalty for Drug Offences: A violation of international human rights law*. London: International Harm Reduction Association.
- Hood, R., & Hoyle, C. (2009). Abolishing the death penalty worldwide: The impact of a "new dynamic". *Crime and Justice*, 38(1), 1-63.
- Bishop, A. N. (2001). The Death Penalty in the United States: An International Human Rights Perspective. *S. Tex. L. Rev.*, 43, 1115.
- Carozza, P. G. (2002). My friend is a stranger: the death penalty and the global ius commune of human rights. *TEX. L. REV.*, 81, 1031.
- Neumayer, E. (2008). Death penalty abolition and the ratification of the Second Optional Protocol. *International Journal of Human Rights*, 12(1), 3-21.
- Kallins, L. B. (1993). The Juvenile Death Penalty: Is the United States in Contravention of International Law. *Md. J. Int'l L. & Trade*, 17, 77.
- Eklund, A. K. (2004). The Death Penalty in Montana: A Violation of the Constitutional Right to Individual Dignity. *Mont. L. Rev.*, 65, 135.
- Santoso, M. I. (2016). The Pros and cons of the death penalty for the drug abuse in Indonesia. *JL Pol'y & Globalization*, 55, 43.
- Lillich, R. B. (1995). Harmonizing Human Rights Law Nationally and Internationally: The Death Row Phenomenon as a Case Study. *Louis ULJ*, 40, 699.
- Gallahue, P., & Lines, R. (2010). *The death penalty for drug offences: Global overview 2010*. London: International Harm Reduction Association.
- Koh, H. H. (2001). Paying Decent Respect to World Opinion on the Death Penalty. *UC Davis L. Rev.*, 35, 1085.
- Schabas, W. (2002). *The abolition of the death penalty in international law*. Cambridge University Press.
- Kabir, A., & Nazareth, I. (2022). Conversion therapy: a violation of human rights in Iranian gay men. *The Lancet Psychiatry*, 9(4), e19.
- Futamura, M. (2013). The politics of the death penalty and contexts of transition: Democratization, peacebuilding and transitional justice. In *The politics of the death penalty in countries in transition* (pp. 13-28). Routledge.
- Berenger, C. (2010). *The death penalty and the death row phenomenon: a violation of human rights?* (Master's thesis, University of Malta).
- Stokes, R. (2016). A fate worse than death? The problems with life imprisonment as an alternative to the death penalty. In *Against the Death Penalty* (pp. 301-322). Routledge.
- Clarke, A. W., Whitt, L. A., Lambert, E., & Elechi, O. (2004). Does the Rest of the World Matter-Sovereignty, International Human Rights Law and the American Death Penalty. *Queen's LJ*, 30, 260.
- Grayer, L. A. (1994). A Paradox: Death Penalty Flourishes in US While Declining Worldwide. *Denv. J. Int'l L. & Pol'y*, 23, 555.
- Babcock, S. (2002). The Role of International Law in United States Death Penalty Cases. *Leiden Journal of International Law*, 15(2), 367-387.
- Gottlieb, G. H. (1960). Testing the death penalty. *S. Cal. L. Rev.*, 34, 268.

- Méndez, J. E. (2012). The death penalty and the absolute prohibition of torture and cruel, inhuman, and degrading treatment or punishment. *Hum. Rts. Brief*, 20, 2.
- Nanda, V. P. (1992). The United States Reservation to the Ban on the Death Penalty for Juvenile Offenders: An Appraisal Under the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. *DePaul L. Rev.*, 42, 1311.
- Paul, N. W., Caplan, A., Shapiro, M. E., Els, C., Allison, K. C., & Li, H. (2017). Human rights violations in organ procurement practice in China. *BMC Medical Ethics*, 18, 1-9.
- Ogletree Jr, C. J. (2002). Black man's burden: Race and the death penalty in America. *Or. L. Rev.*, 81, 15.
- Bullard, T. (2020). History and Experience of the Death Penalty: Is It a Violation of Human Rights?. *A student-based journal devoted to discussions on law and criminal justice*, 24.
- Goldberg, A. J., & Dershowitz, A. M. (1970). Declaring the death penalty unconstitutional. *Harvard Law Review*, 1773-1819.
- Barry, K. M. (2019). The death penalty and the fundamental right to life. *BCL Rev.*, 60, 1545.
- Liebman, J. S. (2001). New Death Penalty Debate: What's DNA Got to Do with It. *Colum. Hum. Rts. L. Rev.*, 33, 527.
- Fumbo, C., Adimekwe, M., & Ziebertz, H. G. (2019). Death Penalty and the Right to Life. A Comparative Empirical Study in Tanzania and Nigeria. *Euthanasia, Abortion, Death Penalty and Religion-The Right to Life and its Limitations: International Empirical Research*, 209-242.
- Bradley, C. A. (2002). The juvenile death penalty and international law. *Duke LJ*, 52, 485.
- Bentele, U. (2003). Does the Death Penalty, by Risking Execution of the Innocent, Violate Substantive Due Process? *Hous. L. Rev.*, 40, 1359.
- Roecks, C. R. (1994). Extradition, Human Rights, and the Death Penalty: when nations must refuse to extradite a person charge with a capital crime. *Cal. W. Int'l LJ*, 25, 189.
- Padmanabhan, A. (2009). Is the Death Penalty a Violation of Human Rights—Some Remarks from a Trans-Civilisational Perspective. *Available at SSRN 1627699*.